Aggrigation provisions for Class 8 exemption removed.

Amendments to Senate Bill No. 220
1st Reading Copy

DATE 2-23-07

Prepared by Department of Revenue

MI M SB-220

For the Senate Taxation Committee

Prepared by Lee Heiman February 20, 2007 (8:15pm)

1. Page 22, line 17.

Strike: "Except as provided in subsection (5) (b), the"

Insert: "The"

2. Page 22, line 18.

Strike: ", directly or indirectly,"

3. Page 22, line 20 through line 23.

Following: "(b)"

Strike: the remainder of subsection (b) in its entirety

Insert: "(i) The department shall, by rule, establish reporting
 requirements that would not allow multiple business
 identities to obtain multiple exemption thresholds for what
 are functionally single businesses. The rules may require
 individual and taxpayer identification numbers for
 pass-through entities, as defined in 15-30-101, and their
 owners, partners, and officers to allow the department to
 track exemptions through the entities.

(ii) Whenever one member of a firm or one of the proper officers of a corporation has made a statement showing the property of the firm or corporation, another member of the firm or another officer is not required to include the property in that person's statement but the statement must show the name of the person or officer who made the statement in which the property is included.

(iii) The fact that a statement is not required or that a person has not made a statement, under oath or otherwise, does not relieve the person's property from taxation."

- END -

Explanation - The purpose of these amendments is to remove the provision that aggregates the property of related parties as defined under the §267 rules of the IRC that disallow losses between related parties and to give the department of revenue power to adopt rules to prevent splitting entities artificially to maximize the exemption, similar to the rule-making grant contained in HB 529.